UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		08 MAY 13 PM 4: 08 is space for	
	Clerk's Office File Stamp CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR. SOUTHERH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
TO:	TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Judge William O. Hayes		
FROM: J. Hinkle, Deputy Clerk RECEIMED DATE: 5/12/08			
CAS	E NO.: <u>08cv127</u> -	WOH(BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Alton B Hornback, Pro Se Pla	
CASE TITLE: Hornback v. The United States of America			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Petitioner's First Amended Opposition			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
✓ <u>I</u>	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
_	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
_	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
	•	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
XI.		OTHER: No original opposition on docket for this to be "first amended"	
,			
	Date forwarded: 5/12/08		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
		be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	copy of this order	<u> </u>	
	Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuan		
	to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF: Judge Hayes	
	Dated: $\frac{5 13 }{\text{cc: All Parties}}$	\	